

Mr. James R. Leavelle
4613 Chaha Rd., # 373
Garland, TX 75043

1/13/95

Dear Jim,

Thank you very much for taking the time to prepare the new jail layout. I do not know whether Inside the JFK Assassination Industry will ~~be~~ published but it is a long record for our history and I'll use that in what I wrote about the La Fontaines and the Post's using that crap. I've still not heard from them or from the book that was due by now and maybe won't appear.

I've just been told that after being sat in for almost two years my NEVER AGAIN! is scheduled now for April. (NEVER AGAIN! in the sense this should never happen to us again.) I think it may change your mind on some of this.

It may interest you to know that two retired FBI SAs have written me that after reading Case Open they now do not believe the official story.

I think it is likely that the Morning News story has been delayed because it was not acceptable and perhaps exceeded the terms of the agreement. Gary Shaw sent me a copy and I made suggestions for omissions and shortening. I've heard nothing from him since. He had promised to send me a copy of it and of what JAMA is to publish.

Poor Mary! What a load that woman has carried! and for so very long! It is also surprising that Back is hanging on as he has. Please ^{give} them our best.

I suppose she may have some reason for not getting the flu shot but since we began taking them more than 30 years ago neither of us got it. We have both just about recovered from respiratory infections that have been common around here. First time I've been sick in so long I can't remember the last time. Probably about 20 years. Despite all that is wrong with me and makes me so weak!

We hope you can make it this spring. If you do and if when NEVER AGAIN! is published it ~~does~~ not include the afterword I wrote you may want to read it and if you'd like copy it. Rather long. and what I've also written, Senator Russell Dissents, about 40 pages. He and Cooper refused to agree with the single-bullet theory and were conned into believing that the language that was substituted incorporated their disagreement when it didn't. This no longer rests on Russell's word to me, that is, his disagreement. I now have it from his and from Cooper's archives.

Thanks and our best to you all,

Sincerely,

